

- THE CLERK: Criminal cause for arraignment, case number 22-846-TAM, United States v. William Swift.
- 3 Counsel, please state your name for the records.
- 4 MR. GILBERT REIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 5 Gilbert Rein for the United States.
- 6 MS. NORA HIROZAWA: And good afternoon, Your Honor.
- Nora Hirozawa, Federal Defenders on behalf of Mr. Swift, who is
- 8 on my side.
- 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you both.
- And good afternoon to you as well, Mr. Swift. My name
- 11 is Judge Taryn Merkl. And you're here today because you have
- been charged with a crime in a criminal complaint.
- Ms. Hirozawa, do you have a copy of the complaint?
- MS. HIROZAWA: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: And have you provided it to Mr. Swift?
- MS. HIROZAWA: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: So in the criminal complaint, sir, you are
- 18 | charged with knowingly and intentionally transmitting threats
- 19 | in interstate and foreign commerce to various people mentioned
- 20 | in the complaint as Jane Doe, John Doe 1, John Doe 2, John Doe
- 21 3, and John Doe 4. And those complaints are alleged to have
- been communicated via email and other formats between February
- 23 and June of this year.
- The purpose of the proceeding here today is to advise
- 25 you of certain rights that you have to make sure that you are



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

informed about the charge against you and to decide under what conditions, if any, you should be released pending trial in this case or whether you should be detained in jail. First, I'm going to go over your rights. It's important for you to understand that you have the right to remain silent. You are not required to make any statements. If you have started to say something, you may stop at any time and you do not need to make any further statements. But what you must understand is that any statements that you make to anyone except for Ms. Hirozawa and your legal team, any statements to anybody else could be used against you. Mr. Swift, do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You also have the right to be represented by an attorney during all court proceedings, including this one, and during any questioning by the authorities. I have before me a financial affidavit, which I have reviewed, that does suggest that you qualify for court-appointed counsel. So for purposes of today's proceeding and all future proceedings in this case, the Court has appointed Ms. Hirozawa and the Federal Defender represent you. Do you understand, Mr. Swift, that you have the right to be represented by counsel from this point forward? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: I need you to speak up. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.



- 1 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 2 And Mr. Rein, is there any indication that this
- defendant is not a U.S. citizen?
- 4 MR. REIN: No, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. I got no implication of that
- from pre-trial report or otherwise. And have the victims in
- 7 this case been notified of Mr. Swift's arrest?
- 8 MR. REIN: Judge, they have. The Government and the
- 9 FBI have spoken to two of them, have made attempts to contact
- 10 | the other ones. But they have been notified that he's been
- 11 arrested and that this proceeding would be happening and
- 12 there'd be a question of detention or release.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- So as I noted, I have before me a complaint containing
- 15 | the charge against you. As I mentioned, the charge is at this
- 16 | time one charge, it is interstate communication of threats of
- 17 bodily injury and death to five different individuals.
- 18 Ms. Hirozawa, have you reviewed the complaint with
- 19 your client?
- MS. HIROZAWA: I have, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Have you advised him of his rights in
- 22 | connection with this case?
- MS. HIROZAWA: Yes, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Are you satisfied that your client
- 25 understands the charge?



- 1 MS. HIROZAWA: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Swift? Do you
- 3 understand what you've been charged with?
- 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. I'd now like to turn to the
 question of pre-trial release. The Government has submitted a
 detention memorandum in this case, which I have received and
- 8 reviewed.
- 9 Is there anything else you'd like to put on the record, Mr. Rein?
- MR. REIN: Judge, I have submitted that detention
- 12 memorandum. I would like to say just briefly, the --
- obviously, the nature and circumstances of this case are such
- 14 that the very crime itself is the threatening of victims in
- 15 this case. And when the Government spoke with Jane Doe and
- 16 informed her that the defendant had been arrested, she was very
- 17 | relieved. And she also wanted the Government to impress upon
- 18 Your Honor that she would like him detained, especially because
- 19 the threats in this case are directed at a specific event,
- 20 which is to occur in New York State at the end of this month.
- 21 And so the victims, especially Jane Doe, are very concerned
- about the integrity of that event being able to take place,
- 23 given the defendant's threats.
- And as Your Honor knows, the threats where shooting,
- stabbing, hitting people in the head with a hammer. In fact,



Colloquy

and I alluded to this in the memo I submitted to the Court, just over this past weekend there were additional communications between the defendant and others associated with the Woodstock Fruit Festival, which is the event I'm talking about where he indicated that a group picture would be a perfect opportunity to commit a mass shooting. And so obviously, this ideology has continued up and to essentially the present. I'm informed by the agents who executed a search warrant this morning that hammers and screwdrivers were found in the defendant's residence along with several pocket knives. And those, obviously, were things that were mentioned in the threats in this case.

And so for all these reasons, we believe that the weight of the evidence in this case is strong. In addition to the items I mentioned that were seized, the FBI seized a computer and a cell phone. So we believe that the evidence in this case will only get stronger and it will only add to the communications that the Government already possesses, which are audio recordings and emails.

As I mentioned in my memo, the defendant is a self-described incel, which is, I understand to mean involuntary --involuntarily celibate. And it's a movement that the Government understands to be directed toward women and hatred toward women. And particularly in this case, the primary victim is a woman, Jane Doe. And the defendant has said in his



Colloquy

threats some very sexually explicit and depraved things about Jane Doe. So the Government has significant concerns that if the defendant is released, he'll try to follow through on the threats that have been outlined both in the detention memo and the complaint.

In particular, I want to just reiterate the fact that the Government possesses and provided to the Court and Counsel a message sent by the defendant to another person affiliated with the Woodstock Fruit Festival saying that if he was arrested he would kill Jane Doe. And obviously, now he's been arrested. When -- the Government believes that, when the defendant says something like that, we should take him at his word, and we suggest that the Court do that as well. And as I mentioned, the victims in this case that we've been able to notify thus far have said that they have a preference for detention in this case. So for all those reasons, Judge, we believe that the detention is appropriate.

THE COURT: Can I just ask you a couple of factual questions?

MR. REIN: Yes.

THE COURT: In your detention memorandum, you describe this emails saying, "I'm not afraid of the police. I could have stabbed you and do an f-ing Ted Bundy". When were those messages sent?

MR. REIN: The -- one moment, Judge. The Ted Bundy



- 1 comment was sent on June 18th, 2022.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. REIN: The message where he says that he's not
- 4 afraid of the police, I -- that was said a few times.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then this one --
- 6 MR. REIN: Yeah.
- 7 THE COURT: -- this screenshot that's --
- MR. REIN: Yes.
- 9 THE COURT: -- included in your detention
- 10 memorandum --
- MR. REIN: Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: -- when was that message sent? About
- 13 killing Jane Doe --
- MR. REIN: Yes.
- THE COURT: -- if he's arrested.
- 16 MR. REIN: Yes. I believe it was sent in June of
- 17 | 2022, but please give me one moment to just confer with the
- 18 agent.
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 (Pause)
- MR. REIN: Thank you, Judge. I've been able to review
- 22 our records briefly and the person who received that message
- provided it to the FBI on July 7th. As to when the person
- 24 actually received the message from the defendant, it's not
- 25 quite clear from the records I have right now.



- THE COURT: Got it. Okay. All right. Is there
 anything else you'd like to add?
- 3 MR. REIN: No, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Ms. Hirozawa?

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MS. HIROZAWA: Thank you, Your Honor. I think the first thing that the Court was inquiring about is one reason that I think that Mr. Swift should be released, which is that none of these messages or threats, although I agree that they are concerning, were followed through on. And I think that the context --
- THE DEFENDANT: I had no gun.
- MS. HIROZAWA: He never had a gun. The threats
 about --
- 14 THE DEFENDANT: (Indiscernible) for self-defense on the subway, which everyone needs here, by the way.
- MS. HIROZAWA: Yeah.
 - So I think that -- I recognize that the Government has indicated that there were screwdrivers and other and hammers and other items found at Mr. Swift's home. I think those are consistent with many household items that might be found at my own home and certainly are not so exclusively consistent with the threats discussed in the complaint that I think it is evidence that he actually planned to follow through with any of the threats that were made over the online communications.
- 25 Additionally, I would note, as the complaint details,



Colloquy

that it appears at least at one point in July that Mr. Swift emailed Jane Doe and stated, "I'm sorry I said such hateful and threatening things to you and the people at WFF. I will not harm anyone at WFF". I think these communications are perhaps evidence of Mr. Swift struggling with his own personal issues.

And as the pre-trial services report noted, Mr. Swift does struggle with a number of mental health diagnoses, and he does receive disability benefits for those diagnoses.

I have consulted with our social work team at my office, and we would be more than happy to work closely with Mr. Swift to ensure that he is getting the support that he needs and to ensure that he doesn't pose a danger to the community.

I think one of my biggest concerns regarding the Government's request for detention, which I spoke with Mr. Rein about prior to court, is that based on very recent and extensive personal experience dealing with MDC, their ability to address client psychiatric needs is very limited. I have a number of individuals who -- a number of clients who I work with who have requested both psych medications as well as therapeutic treatment. And in one case where Judge Reyes actually ordered MDC to provide therapeutic treatment back in January 2022. And I believe my client has been seen by the MDC therapist once. They do not have the capacity or the resources to provide the level of psychiatric treatment that I think Mr.



Swift requires.

I have received a signed HIPAA from him. And I am going to be in communication with his mental health provider to ensure that the services he's receiving are appropriate. And we would agree and recommend that the Court include mental health treatment as a mandatory condition of release.

In addition, I think it is notable, and Mr. Swift has mentioned this to me and asked that I share this with the Court, that he has been struggling with his own concerns and feelings of self-hatred in recent months, which led him to use alcohol to excess. I think that some of this conduct resulted from that, and certainly that is something that we have discussed. I think it would be really beneficial for him to not use alcohol, in particular to excess, and that's something that he's committed to doing.

I know that the pre-trial services report references drug use -- extensive drug use. I just wanted to note that Mr. Swift actually did, I mean, he had a pretty lengthy period of sobriety from 2013 to 2021. And during that time, he was also struggling with eating disorders, which I think are related to his OCD diagnosis. And so all of these, I think, dependencies or addictions I think are interrelated with his mental health diagnoses. And that's something that me and my social workers are committed to working with him on to ensure that he's able to manage those conditions in a way that is healthy and

productive for him, and that also ensures the safety and security of the witnesses -- or potential victims in this case.

The other notable appointment that I wanted to raise with the Court is that Mr. Swift does have a surgery scheduled in Rhode Island for the end of this month, I believe August 23rd?

THE DEFENDANT: 25th.

MS. HIROZAWA: August 25th.

9 THE DEFENDANT: Which it was paid for yesterday with a credit card.

MS. HIROZAWA: Which he paid for yesterday. And so he is very much hoping to be able to receive the medical attention that he requires on that date.

THE DEFENDANT: I wouldn't have time to go stab or shoot someone and go see the doctor in Rhode Island.

MS. HIROZAWA: Yeah.

And so, Your Honor, I do think that there are conditions of release that can be set in this case. And I would request that the Court set a 150,000-dollar personal appearance bond, which would be cosigned by Mr. Swift and his mother, Jane Swift (ph.), who is on the line. She makes approximately, I believe, 197,000 dollars per year. She works as a clerical assistant for a trucking company. And she resides in Belford, New Jersey. If necessary, she said that Mr. Swift would be able to live with her. He does have a

subsidized apartment here in Brooklyn where he would like to
continue living to ensure that he doesn't lose that stable
housing. And he would be willing to participate in location
monitoring to assuage any concerns that the Government might
have. And additionally, he would be willing to abide by a stay
away order from any of the Jane or John Does named in the
complaint, which I assume the Court would impose. And if there
are any other conditions that the Court thinks would be
appropriate, we're happy to consider and agree to those.
THE COURT: Ms. Hirozawa, the surgery that you
mentioned, is that in connection with the situation described
at the top of page 3 of the pre-trial services report?
MS. HIROZAWA: That is correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Given the nature of the procedure
described, is that the type of thing that could be rescheduled?
THE DEFENDANT: No. They took my money. They say
they took my money. I'm going to lose my money if I can't go
to it. And it's also a time thing. So in order so it's a
reverse what it is, it's a vasectomy reversal. I'm not
and I have had sex, so I'm not an incel. I guess I just feel
like I don't enjoy I don't know if I'm saying but I'm
the vasectomy reversal can only be reversed within a certain
amount of time from the vasectomy.
By the way, the festival also promoted vasectomies
and



	Colloquy		
1	MS. HIROZAWA: And		
2	THE DEFENDANT: I was angry		
3	MS. HIROZAWA: so		
4	THE DEFENDANT: at them for		
5	MS. HIROZAWA: let's		
6	THE DEFENDANT: promoting vasectomies but		
7	MS. HIROZAWA: Yeah, we can		
8	THE DEFENDANT: So I'm get I'm going I'm my		
9	plan was to go up until being arrested today, my plan was to		
10	go to Rhode Island to get a vasectomy reversal, because I		
11	and there's only a certain amount of time that that can be done		
12	from the point of vasectomy to the point where it's even		
13	possible for it to be reversed, at least according to the		
14	doctor and the website and the doctors who perform that sort of		
15	thing. So I think what this kind of proves is that most of		
16	what I was saying is just kind of me saying angry, hateful		
17	things like extremely angry, hateful things, but not		
18	necessarily		
19	THE COURT: I understand where you're coming from on		
20	that, sir. But I don't find the proposed bail package		
21	sufficient, Ms. Hirozawa. The conduct in this case is very		
22	serious and the concerns that I have are many. The defendant,		
23	according to the pre-trial services report, has a history of		
24	various issues. And I do think you're probably right that		
25	they're all interconnected and that there is a need for mental		

Colloquy

health and substance abuse treatment. But my concern is that the defendant has indicated that -- he has denied engaging in any drug treatment programs. It's unclear whether or not those programs would be successful.

The proposal of him having -- living basically alone in an apartment, which was what I understood you to be saying, does not provide the Court with sufficient assurance that he wouldn't have a bad day and engage in conduct similar to the conduct that was described in the complaint and in the detention memo. And although I hear you that there's no evidence in the record that he has followed through with these threats yet, these threats were future-looking. They were threats focused on a festival that is scheduled to occur in a couple of weeks based on the information in the complaint and a very specific and pointed threat at a victim pertinent to whether or not he ended up arrested in connection with this case.

And absent some sort of treatment program where he is supervised and with structural supports, it's hard to imagine a set of conditions that would provide the Court with the requisite assurance that he would not pose a danger to the specific victims in this case, the community, and also to himself, Ms. Hirozawa. You yourself acknowledged the defendant is sort of heavily engaged in -- there's several issues that he's working through right now.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

And I'm sympathetic to your position and I'm sympathetic to your circumstances, Mr. Swift. I really am.

But prior to your being able to be released, I think that the Federal Defenders and the social work team should think hard and look into options that would provide you with sufficient structural support that you could -- there would be more assurance for the Court that you would not pose a danger to the victims in this case, to the community, and to yourself.

I'm not sure exactly what that package would look like, but the package that was presented today, Ms. Hirozawa, most respectfully, doesn't cut it. I also note that in the pre-trial services report, there is an indication that the defendant's mother would have been willing to serve, as somebody told me, it was -- I think it was in the pre-trial services report -- willing to serve as a third-party custodian. But I don't think that there's sufficient nexus in their relationship, given their living circumstances right now, for her to provide the requisite supervision and assurance to the Court. The pre-trial services report also indicated that she was unaware that he used illicit substances or alcohol. If she's that disconnected from his current circumstances, I don't think she would be an appropriate third-party custodian as their relationship is right now. If circumstances were to change, were you to determine that she should -- that they would live together or with electronic monitoring, other

- things, I don't know. There may be a set of circumstances that
 would provide the Court with sufficient assurance, but the
 package that's been proposed today is not it.
 - That being said, I do find that the defendant -- there is a serious risk that the defendant poses a danger to the community. And the Government has presented clear and convincing evidence of that risk. So the -- any bail package that the defendants would present in the future would need to overcome that finding and provide sufficient assurance that he would both return to court and not pose a danger to himself or others. That being said, I will give leave to come back, but I do make those findings.
 - Mr. Rein, is there anything else that I should take up today?
- MR. REIN: No, Your Honor.

- 16 THE COURT: What's the Government's position on preliminary hearing? Have you discussed --
- MR. REIN: We haven't discussed a way we can -- just briefly, would -- is defendant prepared to waive a preliminary hearing?
 - MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, we are prepared to waive a preliminary hearing today to permit discussions with the Government regarding the evidence and to allow me to review evidence with Mr. Swift.
- THE COURT: Okay.



So Mr. Swift, just to make sure you understand what's				
going on, you have the right to what's called a preliminary				
hearing. That's a hearing at which the Government would have				
the burden to show that there is probable cause to believe that				
the crime that you're being charged with was committed and that				
you were the person that committed it.				
At the hearing, you or your counsel would be entitled				
to cross-examine any witnesses or introduce evidence.				
Normally, that hearing would be scheduled within fourteen days				
from today. But what often happens in this district is the				
Government chooses to indict rather than go forward at the				
preliminary hearing. And if there is an indictment in the				
case, there would be no preliminary hearing. Do you understand				
all that, Mr. Swift?				
THE DEFENDANT: Not at all.				
THE COURT: Why don't you take a minute to talk to Ms.				
Hirozawa.				
(Pause)				
MS. HIROZAWA: Thank you, Your Honor.				
THE COURT: All right.				
So Mr. Swift, now that you've had an opportunity to				
talk with your attorney a little bit more about the preliminary				
hearing, do you have a better understanding of it?				
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.				
THE COURT: Okay. And do you agree with your counsel				

- 1 that you are going to waive the preliminary hearing?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- Is there anything other than the 5(f) order that I
- 5 | should take up today, Mr. Rein?
- 6 MR. REIN: I don't believe so, Judge.
- 7 THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Hirozawa?
- MS. HIROZAWA: Not at this time, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 So now, Mr. Swift, I have something to tell the
- 11 Government, okay?
- I direct the prosecution to comply with its
- obligations under Brady v. Maryland and its progeny to disclose
- 14 to the defense all information, whether admissible or not, that
- 15 is favorable to the defendant, material, either to guilt or to
- punishment, and known to the prosecution. Possible
- 17 | consequences for noncompliance may include dismissal of
- 18 individual charges or the entire case, exclusion of evidence,
- and professional discipline or court sanctions on the attorneys
- 20 responsible for any violation. I will be entering a written
- 21 order more fully describing this obligation and the possible
- 22 | consequences of failing to meet it. And I direct the
- prosecution to review and comply with that order. Mr. Rein,
- does the prosecution confirm that it understand its obligations
- 25 under Brady and Rule 5(f) and will fulfill them?



	Colloquy			
1	MR. REIN: Yes, Judge. The Government takes these			
2	obligations very seriously and will comply.			
3 THE COURT: Thank you.				
4	So as noted, we will enter a written order on the			
5	docket later today.			
6	And Ms. Hirozawa, is there anything else, anything			
7	you'd like us to communicate to the MDC?			
8	MS. HIROZAWA: Your Honor, I think if the MDC could be			
9	directed to provide psychiatric treatment to Mr. Swift, that			
10	would be greatly appreciated. I recognize that he will be in a			
11	quarantine unit, at least initially, but I do think it would be			
12	helpful for him to meet with a psychiatrist and not simply			
13	know there's a medical director who is not a licensed			
14	4 psychologist or a psychiatrist and sometimes prescribes			
15	medications. I think in Mr. Swift's case, it would be helpful			
16	if he met with specifically a licensed psychiatrist.			
17	THE COURT: Thank you.			
18	Mr. Chin, can we enter an MDC medical order to request			
19	that they have him reviewed by the psychiatrist?			
20	THE CLERK: Yes.			
21	THE COURT: Thank you.			
22	Thank you, Ms. Hirozawa.			
23	Mr. Rein, anything final?			
24	MR. REIN: No, Your Honor.			
25	THE COURT: Ms. Hirozawa, is there anything else?			



```
Colloquy
              MS. HIROZAWA: Nothing further at this time. Thank
 1
 2
     you, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               (Proceedings concluded at 4:12 o'clock, p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	CERTIFICATION		
2			
3	I, Rachel Wiley, court-approved transcriber, do hereby		
4	certify the foregoing is a true and correct transcript from the		
5	official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the		
6	above-entitled matter.		
7			
8			
9	A Wester	August 23, 2022	
10	Rachel Wiley, CDLT-251	DATE	
11	Radici Wiley, CDB1 201		
12			
13	eScribers, LLC 7227 North 16th Street		
14	Phoenix, AZ 85020 www.escribers.net		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			